	Case 3:20-cv-01167-RS	Document 10	Filed 03/24/20	Page 1 of 3		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683 Moore Law Firm, P.C. 300 South First Street, Suite 34 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone (408) 298-2000 Facsimile (408) 298-6046 E-mail: service@moorelawfirm Attorney for Plaintiff, Gerardo Hernandez	n.com	DISTRICT COUR	${f T}$		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	GERARDO HERNANDEZ,		Case No. 3:20-c	v-01167-RS		
12	Plaintiff,	) )	) STIPHLATION	TO EXTEND DEADLINE		
13	vs. )  THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY )  dba SHERWIN-WILLIAMS PAINT STORE, ) et al.,		TO COMPLETE JOINT SITE			
14			INSPECTION REQUIRED BY GENERAL ORDER 56; [PROPOSED] ORDER			
15						
16	Defendants.					
17	Defendants.					
18			) 			
19						
20						
21						
22 23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DEADLINE FOR JOINT SITE INSPECTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER					

Plaintiff, Gerardo Hernandez ("Plaintiff"), and Defendants, The Sherwin-Williams Company dba Sherwin-Williams Paint Store, and Jill Investments, LLC ("Defendants," and together with Plaintiff, "the Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. This action arises out of Plaintiff's claims that Defendants denied him full and equal access to their public accommodation on account of his disabilities in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and parallel California law. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief under federal and California law, as well as damages under California law. This matter therefore proceeds under this district's General Order 56 which governs ADA access matters.
- 2. The Court has ordered that the Parties conduct a joint site inspection 60 days after service of the complaint (General Order 56, as amended in January 2020) of the subject property, in this instance, on or before April 27, 2020.
- 3. The parties require an extension due to the COVID-19 pandemic and shelter-inplace order for Alameda County.
- 4. Nonetheless, the Parties have agreed to conduct the joint site inspection on June 12, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. if the matter does not settle prior to that time, subject to the Parties' reservation to further modify the date if necessary.
- 5. Accordingly, the Parties stipulate to extend the deadline to conduct the joint site inspection to June 12, 2020, with all dates triggered by that deadline continued accordingly.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

22

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

Dated: March 24, 2020 24

MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.

/s/ Tanya E. Moore Tanya E. Moore

Attorney for Plaintiff, Gerardo Hernandez

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DEADLINE FOR JOINT SITE INSPECTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER

	Case 3:20-cv-01167-RS	Document 10	Filed 03/24/20	Page 3 of 3			
1	Dated: March 24, 2020	CII	RRUS LAW PC				
2							
3			<i>Joshua D. Brysk</i> shua D. Brysk				
4		Att	orneys for Defenda	nts, Company dba Sherwin-			
5				and Jill Investments, LLC			
6							
7	ATTESTATION						
8 9	Concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the individual(s) whose electronic signature is attributed above.						
10		<u>/s/</u>	Tanya E. Moore				
11	Tanya E. Moore Attorney for Plaintiff,						
12			rardo Hernandez				
13							
14		[PROPOSE	CD] ORDER				
15	The Parties having so stipulated and good cause appearing,						
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the deadline for the Parties to complete the joint site						
17	inspection is extended to June 12, 2020, with all dates triggered by that deadline continued						
18	accordingly.						
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.						
20							
21	Dated:	United Sta	tes District Judge				
22		emica su	ies District stage				
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	STIPULATION TO CONTINU	E DEADLINE FOR J Pag		ION; [PROPOSED] ORDER			
I	İ	1 45					